Appendix B

(Not Required for Multi-State Projects)

(THIS FORM CAN BE FOUND AT http://mafes.msstate.edu/faculty-staff/pdf/es06.pdf)

MAFES/FWRC REVEIWER FORM MISSISSIPPI AGRICULTURE AND FORESTRY EXPERIMENT STATION FOREST AND WILDLIFE RESEARCH CENTER

MISSISSIPPI STATE UNIVERSITY

The proposal should be peer reviewed by at least three scientists, two of which must be external and one internal to the University. Scientists may be selected from the faculty, appropriate industry or university personnel. It is expected that the Unit Head will review the proposal prior to transmittal to the Director for approval.

Title of	of Proposed Project:				
Princip	al Investigator(s):				
Depart	ment:	Proposed Ending Date: Date Received Date Returned lem justification and review of literature. [] good; [] adequate ge detail; [] inadequate. If either of the last two boxes are checked, please give			
Propos	ed Starting Date:	Proposed Ending Date	:		
Subject	Matter Reviewer	Date Received	Date Returned		
A.	1 0	0	· •		
В.	Objectives []Well stated. []Specific objectives of the pro []Objective does not appear to []Objective overlaps objective [] Remarks	be attainable.			

Revised November 7, 2014

Reviewer's recommendation: [] Overall appraisal of the proposal is good, submit in present form. [] Acceptable with minor revisions noted herein or on the manuscript. [] Proposal needs major revision and resubmitted for additional review. [] Remarks Other Remarks:	shortcoming	[] adequate; [] inadequate. If the last box is checked, supply a summary of its below:
Reviewer's recommendation: [] Overall appraisal of the proposal is good, submit in present form. [] Acceptable with minor revisions noted herein or on the manuscript. [] Proposal needs major revision and resubmitted for additional review. [] Remarks Other Remarks:		
Reviewer's recommendation: [] Overall appraisal of the proposal is good, submit in present form. [] Acceptable with minor revisions noted herein or on the manuscript. [] Proposal needs major revision and resubmitted for additional review. [] Remarks Other Remarks:		
Other Remarks: Reviewer's Signature:	If either the	proposed organization or plan for publication is unsatisfactory, please supply details.
Other Remarks: Reviewer's Signature:		
Other Remarks: Reviewer's Signature:		
Remarks: Date: Reviewer's Signature:]] Overall appraisal of the proposal is good, submit in present form.] Acceptable with minor revisions noted herein or on the manuscript.] Proposal needs major revision and resubmitted for additional review.
Remarks: Date: Reviewer's Signature:		
Remarks: Date: Reviewer's Signature:		
Title:	Date:	Reviewer's Signature:
		Title: